

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,191		04/27/2005	Julio Cesar Ruiz Ballesteros	P040411 6955	
26574	7590	10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
	HARDIN,		PAIK, SANG YEOP		
	DEPARTM RS TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473				3742	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2000	6 ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/511,191	RUIZ BALLESTEROS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Sang Y. Paik	3742						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice and the second of the	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 S	entember 2006							
	action is non-final.							
-,	•	secution as to the merits is						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
closed in accordance with the practice under L	ix parte quayre, 1909 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.2.210.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 7-17 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) 7-17 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate						

Application/Control Number: 10/511,191

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7, 8, 10 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Rourke et al (US 6,078,728) in view of Flashinski et al (US 6,154,607) and Kennedy (US 5,695,692).

O'Rourke shows an evaporator having a base body with an integrated plug, a heating surface to provide heating to an active substance provided on a removable and slidable support. The base body has the U shape with a rear and a front portion which further has the aeration grills with the support fit between the rear and front portions. However, O'Rourke does not shows a PTC heating resistance and the support having two housings that are adapted to in size and shape to two different type of containers of the active substances.

Flashinski shows that it is known in the art to provide an evaporator device with a PTC heating element, and Flashinski further shows the active substance contained in a container that is a tablet and a tray having a volatile substance contained therein with a fragrance permeable membrane.

Kennedy shows that it is known in the art to provide a volatile carrying support or case with different housings that can accommodate different and varying sizes of the active substances. A first housing can be the circular shape with another housing formed of a triangle

wedge shape inside the first housing. Furthermore each wedge section can be different housings in combination with each other to allow different containers to be inserted therein simultaneously, i.e., a semi-circular shape container can be inserted into the semi-circular shape housing section simultaneously with a wedge shape container inserted into the triangular wedge shape housing. (see Figures 1, 6 and 7). Also, each quarter wedge section or housing shown in Figure 1 has the same inner surface.

Page 3

In view of Flashinski and Kennedy, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to adapt O'Rourke with the PTC heating element, which is known in the art to provide a self-regulating heating, to more uniformly heat the volatile active substances, and further adapt with a carrier having compartments or housing wherein different shapes and size of active substances as contained in the container shown in Flashinski and allow different volatile substances at different selected regions be provided in O'Rourke in the removable and slidable support.

With respect to claim 10, the safety mechanism is shown by O'Rourke which shows the teeth established in the front end of lateral walls of the support (see Figures 1 and 3).

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Rourke in view of Flashinski and Kennedy as applied to claims 7, 8, 10 and 13-17 above, and further in view of Schroeder (US 4,725,712) and Shibahashi et al (US 5,558,700).

O'Rourke in view of Flashinski and Kennedy shows the device claimed except the thermochrome paint on the body.

Schroeder shows a fluorescent screen provided on the body of the evaporator device to indicate the function of the device. Shibahashi shows that it is well known in the art that a

Art Unit: 3742

thermochrome or thermochromic materials provide fluorescent colors which can be used to indicate the operating temperature.

In view of Schroeder and Shibahashi, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt O'Rourke, as modified by Flashinski and Kennedy, with a pattern made of the thermochrome fluorescent paint to visually indicate the functions of the device such as the operated temperature of the device with the varying fluorescent colors.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Rourke in view of Flashinski and Kennedy as applied to claims 7, 8, 10 and 13-17 above, and further in view of Sprick (US 5,794,803) or Fuchs (US 5,078,288).

O'Rourke in view of Flashinski and Kennedy shows the device claimed except the side surface of the casing or body being deformed to release the locking teeth.

Sprick and Fuchs show a well known locking safety mechanism with the locking teeth engage with the respective complementary locking teeth wherein the engaged teeth are released as the side of a casing or body is press deformed.

In view of Sprick or Fuchs, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt O'Rourke, as modified by Flashinski and Kennedy, with the safety mechanism having the corresponding press release area to ensure safety from inadvertent release of the active substance support.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,191

Art Unit: 3742

The applicant Flashinski fails to show two housings. It is noted that Flashinski is not applied to teach two housings but rather the PTC heating element and the active substance that can be contained in a container formed in a tablet and tray with a permeable membrane.

With respect to the two housings, Kennedy is applied. The applicant argues that the wedge shaped "housings" are not adapted in size and shape to two different types of containers. This argument is not deemed persuasive since each of the wedge housings in combination with each other can form and take on different housing shapes and form. In the applicant's disclosed invention, the support member (8) allows a partial section of the support as another housing in relation to the larger housing section defined by the overall shape of the support member. Likewise, the support member (15) of Kennedy can be used to define one larger housing while one of the wedge section of the support member serving as another housing.

The applicant also argues O'Rourke does not show the U shape. The base body (16) of O'Rourke clearly resembles a U shape, and the applicant's argument is not deemed persuasive.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,191

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

Page 6

syp